

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/027,088	12/20/2001	Vincent Vaccarelli	LEAP:114_US_	9688	
24041 7	7590 12/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC			FINEMAN, LEE A		
5555 MAIN STREET WILLIAMSVILLE, NY 14221-5406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872	2872	
			DATE MAILED: 12/22/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/027,088	VACCARELLI ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Lee Fineman	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · ——-					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	<i>∕</i> // MARK	A. ROBINSCH				
	PRIMA	RY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that modifying the Scan Optics device into a desktop device is "wholly contrary" to the principles of operation of the Scan Optics device because it is a "stand up" or "free standing" device and therefore improper to combine. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The principles of operation of the Scan Optics device are to use a specific set of lenses (i.e. a microscope) for examining objects and even the brochure states the the "SO-5000 microscopes are suitable for teaching purposes, for regular operating room use and for field work" (see description under heading "SO-5000 series"). It is very well known to use a specific size stand to be able to use the held structure in a specific situation, e.g., in surgery over an operating table or in a laboratory on a desk. So it would have been obvious to use a desktop size stand to hold the Scan Optics' microscope system so it could to be used in smaller spaces. Additionally, the examiner would again like to point out that there is no claimed structure directed to a desktop microscope stand that distinguishes it over the prior art.